	Case 1:24-cv-01407-KES-HBK Documen	it 18 Filed 05/16/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHELTON BENOITE,	Case No. 1:24-cv-01407-KES-HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	v.	RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS
14	J. DOERER, et al.,	CERTIFICATION Date 10, 12
15	Defendants.	Docs. 10, 13
16		
17	Plaintiff Shelton Benoite is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this action filed pursuant	
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On February 12, 2025, plaintiff filed a motion for class certification. Doc. 10. On	
21	March 10, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending plaintiff's motion for class certification be denied because plaintiff is a non-	
23	lawyer proceeding without counsel and it is well established that a layperson without counsel	
24	cannot bring a class action. Doc. 13. The findings and recommendation contained notice that	
25	objections to the Findings and Recommendation were to be filed within fourteen days. <i>Id.</i> at 2.	
26	Plaintiff filed a motion titled "Motion to Reconsider - Motion to Appoint Counsel," in which he	
27	acknowledges the magistrate judge's finding that this case may not proceed as a class action as	
28	plaintiff is unrepresented but requests that the	Court "reconsider the decision" with his "request to

	Case 1:24-cv-01407-KES-HBK Document 18 Filed 05/16/25 Page 2 of 2	
1	appoint counsel." Doc. 15. On April 7, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge construed the filin	
2	as a motion to appoint counsel and denied the motion. Doc. 16. Plaintiff has not filed any other	
3	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
4	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.	
5	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the	
6	findings and recommendation are supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
7	Accordingly,	
8	1. The findings and recommendations filed on March 10, 2025 (Doc. 13) are adopted in	
9	full;	
10	2. Plaintiff's motion to certify a class (Doc. 10) is denied; and	
11	3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.	
12		
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: May 15, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
16	CIVITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		